

In re the Application of: HOSHI, George, et al.

Group Art Unit: 3753

Serial No.: 10/511,431

Examiner: PRICE, Craig James

Filed: June 14, 2005

P.T.O. Confirmation No.: 8396

For: FLUID CONTROL APPARATUS

BRIEF ON APPEAL

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

July 6, 2009

Sir:

This is a Brief on Appeal in response to the Final Office Action dated January 9, 2009, following the Notice of Appeal filed on April 6, 2009, extended from June 6, 2009, to July 6, 2009, by a one-month Petition for Extension of Time.

I. REAL PARTIES OF INTEREST

The real parties of interest in the subject application are the assignees of record, CKD Corporation of Komaki-shi, Japan, and Fujikin Incorporated of Osaka-shi, Japan.

II. RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

There are no related appeals and/or interferences.

III. STATUS OF THE CLAIMS

This is an appeal from the Office Action dated January 9, 2009, in which claims 1-7 were finally rejected, and claims 10-14 were allowed. Thus, the claims on appeal are claims 1-7.

IV. STATUS OF AMENDMENTS

Claims 1-6 were the originally filed claims.

Claims 1-6 were amended and new claim 7 was added in an Amendment dated January 10, 2007, in response to an Office Action dated October 10, 2006. These amendments were entered.

Claims 1-2 were amended and new claims 8-9 were added in an Amendment dated August 20, 2007, in response to an Office Action dated May 18, 2007. These amendments were entered.

Claim 1 was amended in an Amendment dated February 7, 2008, in response to an Office Action dated November 7, 2007. These amendments were entered after an RCE had been filed on May 7, 2008, in response to an Advisory Action dated March 6, 2008.

Claims 1-2, 5 and 7 were amended, claims 8-9 were canceled and new claims 10-14 were

added in an Amendment dated September 29, 2008, in response to an Office Action dated May 28,

2008. These amendments were entered.

V. SUMMARY OF THE CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER

The subject invention relates generally to a fluid control apparatus for use in semiconductor

fabrication equipment, and more particularly to fluid control apparatus which requires heating of a

fluid. (Page 1, lines 5-8).

More specifically as shown in Figures 1 and 2, a fluid control apparatus according to

independent claim 1 comprises a plurality of lines A and B arranged in parallel on a base member

1, the lines having their inlets, as well as their outlets, facing toward the same direction (Page 6, line

to page 7 line 2). Each of the lines A and B comprises a plurality of fluid control devices 2-7

arranged in an upper stage and a plurality of block coupling members 8 arranged in a lower stage.

A feature of the subject control apparatus being that at least one of the lines A and B is provided on

each of opposite sides thereof with a tape heater 11 (Page 7, lines 2-9).

The claimed fluid control apparatus further includes a holding clip 13 for the tape heater 11,

the clip being of an inverted U-shape with flat opposed walls and being removably attached to the

tape heater, a space for positioning the tape heater holding clip therein being provided in each of

locations between adjacent fluid control devices. The tape heaters 11 are held from opposite sides

thereof to block bodies of the fluid control devices 2-7 with a resilient force acting to reduce the

spacing between the opposed walls of the clip (Page 8, lines 14-22). The line provided with heaters

11 is mounted on a line support member 10 removably attached to the base member 1 (Page 9, lines

8-15).

Additionally, the fluid control apparatus according to claim 1 has the base member 1 in the

form of a frame. This frame comprises a plurality of lateral rails 1a extending in a direction

orthogonal to the lines A and B (Page 7, line 27 to page 8, line 1).

The fluid control apparatus according to independent claim 2, like that of independent

claim 1, comprises a plurality of lines A and B arranged in parallel on a base member 1, the lines

having their inlets, as well as their outlets, facing toward the same direction (Page 6, line to page 7

line 2). Each of the lines A and B comprises a plurality of fluid control devices 2-7 arranged in an

upper stage and a plurality of block coupling members 8 arranged in a lower stage.

A feature of the subject control apparatus is each of the lines A and B is mounted on a line

support member 10 removably attached to the base member 1. Further, the line support member 10

has a heater insertion bore 14 formed therein and which extends longitudinally thereof, and a sheath

heater 12 inserted into the bore without insulating material (Page 8, line 28 to page 9, line 7). Each

of the block coupling members 8 is slidably mounted on the line support member 10, and each of

Brief on Appeal

Filed on July 6, 2009

the fluid control devices 2-7 is mounted on at least two adjacent coupling members (Page 9, lines

8-13).

Additionally, the fluid control apparatus according to claim 2 has the base member 1 in the

form of a frame. This frame comprises a plurality of lateral rails 1a extending in a direction

orthogonal to the lines A and B (Page 7, line 27 to page 8, line 1).

Claim 3, dependent upon independent claim 1, relates to a fluid control apparatus where the

line support member 10 has a heater insertion bore 14 formed therein and which extends

longitudinally thereof, and a sheath heater12 inserted into the bore (Page 8, line 28 to page 9, line

7).

Claim 4, dependent upon claim 1 or claim 3, recites that each of the coupling members is

slidably mounted on the line support member, and each of the fluid control devices is mounted on

at least two adjacent coupling members.

Claim 5, dependent upon claim 1 or claim 3, recites that the lateral rails 1a are made of a

nonmetallic material and that the line support member 10 of each of the lines is mounted on the base

member 1 slidably in a lateral direction (Page 9, lines 10-15).

Claim 6, also dependent upon independent claim 1, requires that the tape heater is held in

contact with bodies of the fluid control devices and with the block coupling members.

The fluid control apparatus according to independent claim 7, like that of independent

claim 2, comprises a plurality of lines A and B arranged in parallel on a base member 1, the lines

having their inlets, as well as their outlets, facing toward the same direction (Page 6, line to page 7

line 2). Each of the lines A and B comprises a plurality of fluid control devices 2-7 arranged in an

upper stage and a plurality of block coupling members 8 arranged in a lower stage. A feature of the

subject fluid control apparatus, like that of independent claim 2, is that each of the lines A and B

is mounted on a line support member 10 removably attached to the base member 1. Further, the line

support member 10 has a heater insertion bore 14 formed therein and which extends longitudinally

and a sheath heater 12 is inserted into the bore (Page 8, line 28 to page 9, line 7).

Additionally, the base member 1 of the fluid control apparatus has a plurality of lateral rails

la made of a nonmetallic material and which extend in a direction orthogonal to the lines, the line

support member 10 of each of the lines being mounted on the base member 1 slidably in a lateral

direction (Page 9, lines 10-15).

The claimed fluid control apparatus of independent claim 7 further includes a clip 13 being

a thin metal plate of inverted U-shape (Page 8, lines 17-23). The clip 13 has a top wall 13b having

a shortened front-to-rear width so that there is a space for positioning the top wall 13b on each of the

front and rear sides of the controller 2 (Page 8, lines 24-27).

VI. GROUNDS OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL

A. Summary of the Issues

(1) The first issue presented for review is whether claim 7 was properly objected to under

37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of allowed claim 10.

(2) The second issue presented for review is whether claims 1, 4/1, 6 and 8 (presumably

claim 7 since claim 8 has been canceled) were properly rejected in the Office Action of January 9,

2009, under 35 USC § 103(a) as being unpatentable over the patent to Johnson (6,076,543), in view

of the patent to Ikeda et al (6,014,498) and further in view of the patent to Mittendorf (2,819,858).

(3) The third presented for review is whether dependent claim 3 was properly rejected under

35 USC § 103(a) as being unpatentable over the patents to Johnson '543, Ikeda et al '498 and

Mittendorf '858 and further in view of the patent to Lengstorf (3,733,459).

(4) The fourth issue presented for review is whether claim 2 was properly rejected under 35

USC § 103(a) as being unpatentable over the patents to <u>Johnson</u> '543 and <u>Lengstorf</u> (3,733,459).

Brief on Appeal

Filed on July 6, 2009

(5) The fifth issue presented for review is whether claims 2, 3, 4/3, and 5 were properly

rejected under 35 USC § 103(a) as being unpatentable over the patents to Johnson '543 and Ikeda

et al '498 and further in view of the patent to Lengstorf (3,733,459).

B. Summary of the Examiner's Rejections

In making objection or rejection (1), claim 7 was objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a

substantial duplicate of allowed claim 10.

In making rejection (2), the examiner asserted that the Johnson et al patent teaches the entire

fluid control apparatus as set forth in the noted claims with the exception of the provisions of (1) a

tape heater on opposite sides of the line and (2) the tape heaters being held with a resilient force of

a clip. The Ikeda et al patent was then cited to allegedly supply the first teaching deficiency and the

patent to Mittendorf was cited as supplying the second teaching deficiency.

In rejection (3), it was acknowledged that dependent claim 3 was rejected under 35 USC §

103(a) as being unpatentable over the above cited patents to Johnson et al, Ikeda et al and Mittendorf

further in view of the patent to Lengstorf.

In making rejection (4), the examiner asserted that independent claim 2 was rejected under

35 USC § 103(a) as being unpatentable over the above patent to <u>Johnson et al</u> in view of the patent

to Lengstorf. In making this rejection, the Johnson et al patent was relied upon as above and then

it was asserted that the Lengstorf patent teaches the use of a support member having a heater

insertion bore along the length thereof and a sheath heater inserted in the bore without insulation.

In making rejection (5) is was asserted that Claims 2, 3, 4/3 and 7 were rejected the above

cited patent to Johnson et al in view of the above cited patents to Ikeda et al and Lengstorf. This this

rejection was based on a combination of the teachings the same patents as were discussed above in

the previous rejections.

VII. ARGUMENTS

Rejection (1) - It is submitted that the objection must fail since claims 7 and 10 are not

substantially identical or duplicates. From a comparison of the subject matter of claims 7 and 10,

it is submitted that there are significant differences in both the language and the scope of the claims.

For the purpose of comparison, following is a copy of each claim where the differences are

underlined.

Claim 7: A fluid control apparatus comprising a plurality of lines arranged in parallel on a base member and having inlets, as well as outlets, facing toward the

same direction, each of the lines comprising a plurality of fluid control devices arranged in an upper stage and a plurality of block coupling members arranged in a lower stage, the fluid control apparatus being characterized in that <u>each</u> of the lines is mounted on a line support member removably attached to the base member, the

line support member having a heater insertion bore formed therein and extending longitudinally thereof, a sheath heater being inserted into the bore, wherein the base

member has a plurality of lateral rails made of a nonmetallic material and extending

U.S. Patent Appln. Serial No.: 10/511,431 Brief on Appeal Filed on July 6, 2009

in a direction orthogonal to the lines, the line support member of each of the lines being mounted on the base member slidably in a lateral direction;

wherein the clip is made from a thin metal plate of inverted U-shape, the clip having a top wall having a shortened front-to-rear width so that there is a space for positioning the top wall on each of the front and rear sides of the controller.

Claim 10: A fluid control apparatus comprising a plurality of lines arranged in parallel on a base member and having inlets, as well as outlets, facing toward the same direction, each of the lines comprising a plurality of fluid control devices arranged in an upper stage and a plurality of block coupling members arranged in a lower stage, the fluid control apparatus being characterized in that at least one of the lines is provided on each of opposite sides thereof with a tape heater, a tape heater holding clip being of an inverted U-shape with flat opposed walls and being removably attached to the tape heater, a space for positioning the tape heater holding clip therein being provided in each of locations between adjacent fluid control devices, the tape heaters being held from opposite sides thereof to block bodies of the fluid control devices with a resilient force acting to reduce the spacing between the opposed walls of the clip, the line provided with the heaters being mounted on a line support member removably attached to the base member;

wherein the clip is made from a thin metal plate of inverted U-shape, the clip having a top wall having a shortened front-to-rear width so that there is a space for positioning the top wall on each of the front and rear sides of the controller.

From the above, it is very difficult to determine the basis for the objection of the examiner given these differences.

With respect to rejection (2), it is submitted that the cited Johnson et al, Ikeda et al and Mittendorf patents, whether taken singly or in combination, do not teach or suggest a fluid control apparatus as defined by claims 1 and 2. More particularly, it is submitted that these patents do not teach or suggest, among other things, the distinguishing characteristic of a base member in the form of a frame comprising a plurality of lateral rails extending in a direction orthogonal to the lines in a subject fluid control apparatus as presently claimed.

Brief on Appeal

Filed on July 6, 2009

It is further submitted that the cited patent to Mittendorf does not supply the above noted

teaching deficiencies. In this regard, it is to be further noted that the clip according to the Mittendorf

patent fixes the rod-like heater, and does not hold the tape heater to the block body of the fluid

control apparatus. Accordingly, "the line" in present claim 1 has been amended to recite "block

bodies of the fluid control devices."

Moreover, the clip of the Mittendorf patent is different from the present invention also in

shape of the clip, since the clip of the patent is C-shaped while that of the presently claimed

invention is U-shaped.

In summary, it is again submitted that with respect to rejection (1) that there is no suggestion

or motivation to combine the above two disclosures, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not

be led to do so. Among other things (1), the teachings of the would not render obvious the present

invention

For the reasons stated above, withdrawal of the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) and

allowance of claims 1, 4/1 and 6-7 over the cited patents are respectfully requested.

Regarding rejection (3), dependent claim 3 was rejected as being unpatentable over the above

cited patents to Johnson et al, Ikeda et al and Mittendorf further in view of the patent to Lengstorf.

Inasmuch as this rejection is based on basically the same patents as discussed in the above

rejection, it is submitted that the rejection has been overcome for the reasons discussed above over

combination of the first three of the cited patents.

As to rejection (4) of independent claim 2 in view of the cited patent publication to <u>Johnson</u>

et al in view of the patent to Lengstorf, it is submitted the considerations as set forth above with

respect to rejection 1 are applicable as well. Among other things, neither patent publication teaches

a line support member removably attached to a base member as disclosed in the subject application.

In addition, it is further asserted that the patent to <u>Lengstorf</u> does not have the structure composed

of an upper stage, a lower stage, a line support member and a base member as is presently claimed.

Inasmuch as this rejection is based on basically the same patents as discussed in the above

rejection, it is submitted that the rejection has been overcome for the reasons discussed above over

combination of the first three of the cited patents.

Furthermore, the Lengstorf patent discloses a structure of a valve alone, and plate 30 does

not support a line. Therefore, the combination of the publications to <u>Lengstorf</u> and <u>Johnson</u> would

be similar to that shown in the attached of the attached Appendix XI, not the present invention (the

Brief on Appeal

Filed on July 6, 2009

line support member having a heater insertion bore formed therein and extending longitudinally

thereof).

It therefore is submitted that any combination of the cited patent publication to Johnson et

al further in view of the patent to <u>Lengstorf</u> would not render obvious the present invention.

Accordingly, it is submitted that the rejection has been overcome for the reasons discussed above

over combination of the first cited patents.

Rejection (5) was directed to claims 2, 3, 4/3 and 5 in view of the above cited patent to

Johnson et al in view of the above cited patents to Ikeda et al and Lengstorf. As before, since this

rejection is based on the same patents as discussed above, it is submitted this rejection is inapplicable

on the same basis as the above rejection over combinations of two of the cited patents.

For the reasons stated above, withdrawal of the rejection of claims 2, 3, 4/3 and 5 over the

cited patents is believed to be in order.

<u>SUMMARY</u>

For the reasons stated above, it is submitted that claims 1 through 7 are patentable over the

cited patent publications and are in condition for allowance. It is therefore requested that the

rejections set forth in recent Office Action should be reversed.

Brief on Appeal Filed on July 6, 2009

In the event this paper is not timely filed, appellants hereby petitions for an appropriate extension of time. The fee for any such extension may be charged to our Deposit Account No. 01-2340, along with any other additional fees which may be required with respect to this paper.

Respectfully submitted,

KRATZ, QUINTOS & HANSON, LLP

Donald W. Hanson Attorney for Appellants Reg. No. 27,133

DWH/evb

Atty. Docket No. **040549** Suite 400 1420 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 659-2930

_`.´

Enclosures: Appendices VIII - XI

23850

PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPENDIX VIII - CLAIMS

Claim 1 (Previously Presented): A fluid control apparatus comprising a plurality of lines arranged in parallel on a base member and having inlets, as well as outlets, facing toward the same direction, each of the lines comprising a plurality of fluid control devices arranged in an upper stage and a plurality of block coupling members arranged in a lower stage, the fluid control apparatus being characterized in that at least one of the lines is provided on each of opposite sides thereof with a tape heater, a tape heater holding clip being of an inverted U-shape with flat opposed walls and being removably attached to the tape heater, a space for positioning the tape heater holding clip therein being provided in each of locations between adjacent fluid control devices, the tape heaters being held from opposite sides thereof to block bodies of the fluid control devices with a resilient force acting to reduce the spacing between the opposed walls of the clip, the line provided with the heaters being mounted on a line support member removably attached to the base member;

the base member in the form of a frame comprising a plurality of lateral rails extending in a direction orthogonal to the lines.

Claim 2 (Previously Presented): A fluid control apparatus comprising a plurality of lines arranged in parallel on a base member and having inlets, as well as outlets, facing toward the same direction, each of the lines comprising a plurality of fluid control devices arranged in an upper stage and a plurality of block coupling members arranged in a lower stage, the fluid control apparatus being characterized in that each of the lines is mounted on a line support member removably attached

Brief on Appeal

Filed on July 6, 2009

to the base member, the line support member having a heater insertion bore formed therein and

extending longitudinally thereof, a sheath heater being inserted into the bore without insulating

material, wherein each of the coupling members is slidably mounted on the line support member,

and each of the fluid control devices is mounted on at least two adjacent coupling members;

the base member in the form of a frame comprising a plurality of lateral rails extending in

a direction orthogonal to the lines.

Claim 3 (Previously Presented): A fluid control apparatus according to claim 1 wherein the

line support member has a heater insertion bore formed therein and extending longitudinally thereof,

and a sheath heater is inserted into the bore.

Claim 4 (Previously Presented): A fluid control apparatus according to claim 1 or claim 3

wherein each of the coupling members is slidably mounted on the line support member, and each

of the fluid control devices is mounted on at least two adjacent coupling members.

Claim 5 (Previously Presented): A fluid control apparatus according to any one of claims

1 to 3 which is characterized in that the lateral rails are made of a nonmetallic material, the line

support member of each of the lines being mounted on the base member slidably in a lateral

direction.

Claim 6 (Previously Presented): A fluid control apparatus according to claim 1 wherein the

tape heater is held in contact with bodies of the fluid control devices and with the block coupling

members.

Claim 7 (Previously Presented): A fluid control apparatus comprising a plurality of lines

arranged in parallel on a base member and having inlets, as well as outlets, facing toward the same

direction, each of the lines comprising a plurality of fluid control devices arranged in an upper stage

and a plurality of block coupling members arranged in a lower stage, the fluid control apparatus

being characterized in that each of the lines is mounted on a line support member removably attached

to the base member, the line support member having a heater insertion bore formed therein and

extending longitudinally thereof, a sheath heater being inserted into the bore, wherein the base

member has a plurality of lateral rails made of a nonmetallic material and extending in a direction

orthogonal to the lines, the line support member of each of the lines being mounted on the base

member slidably in a lateral direction;

wherein the clip is made from a thin metal plate of inverted U-shape, the clip having a top

wall having a shortened front-to-rear width so that there is a space for positioning the top wall on

each of the front and rear sides of the controller.

Claims 8-9 (Canceled):

Claim 10 (Previously Presented): A fluid control apparatus comprising a plurality of lines

arranged in parallel on a base member and having inlets, as well as outlets, facing toward the same

Brief on Appeal

Filed on July 6, 2009

direction, each of the lines comprising a plurality of fluid control devices arranged in an upper stage

and a plurality of block coupling members arranged in a lower stage, the fluid control apparatus

being characterized in that at least one of the lines is provided on each of opposite sides thereof with

a tape heater, a tape heater holding clip being of an inverted U-shape with flat opposed walls and

being removably attached to the tape heater, a space for positioning the tape heater holding clip

therein being provided in each of locations between adjacent fluid control devices, the tape heaters

being held from opposite sides thereof to block bodies of the fluid control devices with a resilient

force acting to reduce the spacing between the opposed walls of the clip, the line provided with the

heaters being mounted on a line support member removably attached to the base member;

wherein the clip is made from a thin metal plate of inverted U-shape, the clip having a top

wall having a shortened front-to-rear width so that there is a space for positioning the top wall on

each of the front and rear sides of the controller.

Claim 11 (Previously Presented): A fluid control apparatus according to claim 10 wherein

the line support member has a heater insertion bore formed therein and extending longitudinally

thereof, and a sheath heater is inserted into the bore.

Claim 12 (Previously Presented): A fluid control apparatus according to claim 10 or claim

11 wherein each of the coupling members is slidably mounted on the line support member, and each

of the fluid control devices is mounted on at least two adjacent coupling members.

Brief on Appeal

Filed on July 6, 2009

Claim 13 (Previously Presented): A fluid control apparatus according to any one of claims

10 or 11 which is characterized in that the base member has a plurality of lateral rails made of a

nonmetallic material and extending in a direction orthogonal to the lines, the line support member

of each of the lines being mounted on the base member slidably in a lateral direction.

Claim 14 (Previously Presented): A fluid control apparatus according to claim 10 wherein

the tape heater is held in contact with bodies of the fluid control devices and with the block coupling

members.

U.S. Patent Appln. Serial No.: 10/511,431 Brief on Appeal Filed on July 6, 2009

APPENDIX IX - EVIDENCE

Not Applicable

Brief on Appeal Filed on July 6, 2009

APPENDIX X - RELATED PROCEEDINGS

Not Applicable.

